	Case 2:21-cv-02251-WBS-SCR	Document 38	Filed 05/16/25	Page 1 of 4	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	00000				
11					
12	ADAM HEGGEN, an individu	al,	No. 2:21-cv-10	)7 WBS SCR	
13	Plaintiff,				
14	V.				
15	HEAVENLY VALLEY, Limited				
16	Partnership, and DOES 1 10, inclusive,	THROUGH			
17	Defendants.		ORDER RELATIN	G CASES	
18 19					
20	ANNA CIDCON and CACHADIA	II CATO			
21	ANNA GIBSON and ZACHARIA HAWES on behalf of thems and all those similarly				
22	situated,				
23	Plaintiffs,		No. 2:21-cv-12	)60 MDC CCD	
24	V.		INO. 2:21-CV-12	LOU WES SCK	
25	THE VAIL CORPORATION D/B RESORTS MANAGEMENT COMPA				
26	DOES 1 THROUGH 100, incl				
27	Defendants.				
28					

	Case 2:21-cv-02251-WBS-SCR Document	38 Filed 05/16/25	Page 2 of 4
1			
2	0VD - 0TO DVID - VIVI - TOV		
3	CHRISTOPHER HAMILTON, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,		
4	Plaintiff,		
5	v.	No. 2:21-cv-16	08 WBS SCR
6	HEAVENLY VALLEY, LIMITED		
7	PARTNERSHIP, a Nevada limited partnership, and DOES 1 through		
8	50, inclusive,		
9	Defendants.		
10			
11			
12			
13	PAUL GREG ROBERDS, individually		
14	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,		
15	Plaintiffs,		
16	v.	No. 2:21-cv-22	)51 WDC CCD
17	THE VAIL CORPORATION WHICH WILL	NO. 2.21 CV 22	JI WDS SCR
18	DO BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA AS VAIL RESORTS MANAGEMENT		
19	COMPANY, a Colorado Corporation; HEAVENLY VALLEY,		
20	LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Nevada Limited Partnership; and DOES		
21	1-50, inclusive, THE VAIL CORPORATION D/B/A VAIL RESORTS		
22	MANAGEMENT COMPANY and DOES 1 THROUGH 100, inclusive,		
23	Defendants.		
24	2020		
25			
26			
27			
28		0	

1 2

ASHLEY SANCHEZ, an individual on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VAIL RESORTS MANAGEMENT
COMPANY, a Colorado
corporation; TRIMONT LAND
COMPANY, a California
corporation; VAIL RESORTS,
INC., a business entity of
unknown form; THE VAIL
CORPORATION; a business entity
of unknown form; and DOES 1
through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-1259 WBS CKD

2.1

----00000----

14 ----oo( 15 The court previously re

The court previously related cases <a href="Heggen v. Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership">Heavenly</a> Vailey, Limited Partnership, 2:21-cv-107 WBS SCR; <a href="Gibson v. Vail">Gibson v. Vail</a> Corp., No. 2:21-cv-1260 WBS SCR, <a href="Hamilton v. Heavenly Valley">Heavenly Valley</a>, <a href="Limited Partnership">Limited Partnership</a>, 2:21-cv-01608 WBS SCR, and <a href="Roberds v. Vail">Roberds v. Vail</a> Corporation, 2:21-cv-2251 WBS SCR. (Docket Nos. 9, 15 in Case No. 2:21-cv-107 WBS SCR.) The court now finds that the instant case, <a href="Sanchez v. Vail Resorts Management Co.">Sanchez v. Vail Resorts Management Co.</a>, 2:25-cv-1259 WBS CKD, is also related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a), because these cases are brought by overlapping groups of employees alleging similar California Labor Code violations against the Vail Corporation and its related entities.

These cases were previously assigned to Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes but were reassigned to Magistrate Judge Sean C. Riordan after he was appointed to the bench of this court.

## 

Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that all actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated Heggen v. Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership, 2:21-cv-107 WBS SCR; Gibson v. Vail Corp., No. 2:21-cv-1260 WBS SCR; Hamilton v. Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership, 2:21-cv-1608 WBS SCR, Roberds v. Vail Corporation, 2:21-cv-2251 WBS SCR be, and Sanchez v. Vail Resorts Management Co., 2:25-cv-1259 WBS CKD, be, and the same hereby are, deemed related. The case denominated Sanchez v. Vail Resorts Management Co., 2:25-cv-1259 WBS CKD, shall remain assigned to Judge William B. Shubb but will be reassigned to Magistrate Judge Sean C. Riordan for any duties to be performed under Local Rule 302. Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as Sanchez v. Vail Resorts Management Co., 2:25-cv-1259 WBS SCR.

Dated: May 15, 2025

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE